## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Daniel L. Staley, #273165,	) C.A. #9:07-1833-PMD
Plaintiff,	)
VS.	ORDER
Nurse Ms. Gillmore; et al,	)
Defendants.	) ) _)

This matter is before the court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that this action be dismissed. Because plaintiff is proceeding <u>pro</u> <u>se</u>, this matter was referred to the magistrate judge.<sup>1</sup>

This Court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. <u>Thomas v. Arn</u>, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).<sup>2</sup> Plaintiff filed timely

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 United States Code, § 636(b)(1)(B), and Local Rule 73.02 (DSC)., the magistrate judge is authorized to review all pretrial matters in such *pro se* cases, and submit findings and recommendations to this Court.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In <u>Wright v. Collins</u>, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985), the court held "that a <u>pro se</u> litigant must receive fair notification of the <u>consequences</u> of failure to object to a magistrate judge's report before such a procedural default will result in waiver of the right to appeal. The notice must be sufficiently understandable to one in appellant's circumstances fairly to appraise

9:07-cv-01833-PMD Date Filed 08/06/07 Entry Number 9 Page 2 of 3

objections to the magistrate judge's report on July 25, 2007.

A review of the plaintiff's objections and the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's

report is incorporated into this order.

Plaintiff's objections fail to directly address the magistrate judge's report. Any written

objection must specifically identify the portions of the report and recommendation to which

objections are made and the basis for such objections. 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1). Generally stated,

nonspecific objections have the same effect as would a failure to object. Howard v. Secretary of

Health and Human Services, 932 F.2d 505, 509 (6th Cir. 1991).

Accordingly, this court agrees with the magistrate judge, and

IT IS HEREWITH ORDERED that the Complaint in this action is dismissed without

prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

PATRICK MICHAEL

United States District Judge

August 6, 2007

Charleston, South Carolina

him of what is required." <u>Id.</u> at 846. Plaintiff was advised in a clear manner that his objections had to be filed within ten (10) days, and he received notice of the <u>consequences</u> at the appellate level of his failure to object to the magistrate judge's report

2

## NOTICE OF APPEAL

Plaintiff is hereby notified that he has the right to appeal this Order within **thirty (30) days** from the date hereof pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.